Zero Trust Architecture Project

Alper Kerman

Security Engineer, Project Manager **NIST National Cybersecurity Center of Excellence**





> Historical Context



Mission: "Adoption of zero trust principles, approaches, and relevant technology to secure Federal information systems"

nccoe.nist.gov

> Project Background, Activities and Scope

- Federal Initiative for adoption of Zero Trust principles and approaches for securing federal information systems and networks.
- Runs under the authority of Federal CIO Council and NIST is a partner in that effort, leading the research and technical work with volunteers from other agencies.
- Project launch: February, 2019

Project Deliverable

A NIST Special Publication providing general guidance on the adoption of zero trust architectures in federal information systems, including discovered gaps in the current technology, standards, and technical guidance areas

> The Evolution of Zero Trust



Assume breach and design as if there is no perimeter

By default, don't trust anything, starting with the network

ust Build trustworthiness vith and continuously verify Get just enough riskoptimized trust for the current context Pull it all together into an architecture with context for USG

> Project Deliverable > A NIST Special Pub

NIST Special Publication 800-207, Zero Trust Architecture

Scott Rose (Editor)

Computer Scientist, Advanced Network Technologies Division NIST Information Technology Lab

Product of a multi-agency collaboration overseen by the Federal CIO Council

- Describes ZTA strategies for enterprise network architects
- Provides technology neutral set of terms, definitions, and logical components of network infrastructure using a ZTA strategy
- Provides insight into ZTA for civilian unclassified systems
- Provides a roadmap to migrate and deploy ZTA concepts to an enterprise network
- Is not intended to be a single deployment plan for ZTA

Status and availability for public review

- Draft publication, released for public comment period on September 23rd, 2019 and closed on November 22nd, 2019.
- Received comments from over 70 individuals, federal agencies, DoD, industry groups, vendors, and companies.
- <u>https://csrc.nist.gov/News/2019/zero-trust-architecture-draft-sp-800-207</u>

Scott Ros Edito
1
This publication is available free of charge from https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.SP.800-20
COMPUTER SECURITY

>Project Deliverable > GAP Analysis

Zero Trust Technology Demos



>Project Deliverable > GAP Analysis

Lab Work – Zero Trust Testbed

- Provision a lab at NCCoE \checkmark
- Build base level network infrastructure \checkmark
- Build use case scenarios (1st one built ✓)
- Integrate Zero Trust Technologies
- Conduct technology capabilities tests



Traditional Single Perimeter Defense



> Zero Trust



NO IMPLICIT TRUST!

NEVER TRUST, ALWAYS VERIFY!

> Tenets of Zero Trust

- 1. All data sources and computing services are considered resources.
- 2. All communication is secured regardless of network location.
- 3. Access to individual enterprise resources is granted on a per-connection basis.
- 4. Access to resources is determined by dynamic policy, including the observable state of user identity and the requesting system, and may include other behavioral attributes.
- 5. The enterprise ensures all owned and associated systems are in the most secure state possible and monitors systems to ensure that they remain in the most secure state possible.
- 6. All resource authentication is dynamic and strictly enforced before authorized access is allowed.

A Zero Trust View of a Network

- Assumptions for Enterprise-Owned Network Infrastructure
 - 1. The entire enterprise private network is not considered an implicit trust zone.
 - 2. Devices on the network may not be owned or configurable by the enterprise.
 - 3. No device is inherently trusted.
- Assumptions for Non-Enterprise-Owned Network Infrastructure
 - 1. Not all enterprise resources are on enterprise-owned infrastructure.
 - 2. Remote enterprise users cannot trust the local network connection.

> Zero Trust Architecture

Zero Trust Architecture Logical Components



> Zero Trust Architecture

- **Continuous Diagnostics and Mitigation (CDM) System(s):** This system(s) gathers information about the enterprise system's current state and applies updates to configuration and software components.
- Industry Compliance System: This system ensures that the enterprise remains compliant with any regulatory regime they may fall under (e.g. FISMA, HIPAA, PCI DSS, etc.).
- Threat Intelligence Feed(s): This system provides information from outside sources that help the Policy Engine make access decisions.
- Data Access Policies: This is the set of attributes, rules, and policies about access to enterprise resources. This set of rules could be encoded in or dynamically generated by the Policy Engine.
- Enterprise Public Key Infrastructure (PKI): This system is responsible for generating and logging certificates issued by the enterprise to resources, subjects, and applications.
- **ID Management System:** This system is responsible for creating, storing, and managing enterprise user accounts and identity records.
- Network and System Activity Logs: The enterprise system that aggregates system logs, network traffic, resource access actions, and other events that provide real time (or near real time) feedback on the security posture of enterprise information systems.
- Security Information and Event Management (SIEM) System: This system collects security centric information for later analysis. This data is then used to refine policies and warn of possible attacks against enterprise systems.

Device Agent/Gateway Model



Enclave Gateway Model



Resource Portal Model



Application Sandboxes



National Cybersecurity Center of Excellence

nccoe.nist.gov

> Trust Algorithm

Trust Algorithm Input



Variations

- Criteria vs Score based
- Singular vs Contextual

> Zero Trust Architecture – Example Deployment Scenario



> Zero Trust Architecture – Example Deployment Scenario

Multi-Cloud Enterprise



> Zero Trust Architecture and Existing Guidance

ZTA complements existing Federal guidance and does not replace it

Many existing Federal cybersecurity programs are policy components of a ZTA strategy

- NIST Risk Management Framework (RMF)
- DHS Trusted Internet Connection (TIC), EINSTEIN/ National Cybersecurity Protection System
- DHS Continuous Diagnostics and Mitigation (CDM)
- Federal Identity, Credential, and Access Management (FICAM)
- Cloud Smart and Federal Data Strategy

Zero Trust Architecture Technical Exchange Meeting

Highlights

- Took place on Nov 13th, 14th at NIST/NCCoE
- Organized by NCCoE and FCIO Council
- Highly successful and impactful event where over 150 participants were in attendance (Feds, DoD and industry)
- Various states in their own zero trust journey
- Good mix of presentations from practitioners in the trenches and vendor presentations with Q&A discussions that were informative, influential, and well received.
- "When will be the next one?"

>Zero Trust Architecture Technical Exchange Meeting

Outcomes – Possible areas for further research and work

- Define a common zero trust lexicon/taxonomy.
- Centralize, orchestrate and communicate activities, results, and lessons learned from Zero Trust pilots and implementations across the federal government.
- Assess challenges associated with TLS and end-to-end encryption and address the need for when to break and inspect traffic.
- Map the Zero Trust Architecture document to Risk Management Framework (RMF) / Cybersecurity Framework (CSF).
- Develop a Zero Trust Maturity model by defining metrics, criteria and standards to use for measuring maturity.
- Define additional use cases and impact on ZT tenets and implementation. Examples include: 5G networks; handling BOTS; IoT and protecting networks and data from IoT.
- Compare the NIST 800-53 security controls against the NIST SP 800-207 ZT framework to identify which controls from 800-53 would be addressed if one were to perfectly institute a ZT reference architecture per 800-207. Identify to what extent 800-53 could be "tailored down" leaving a much smaller set of security controls left unaddressed.
- Define standards for APIs to provide more seamless integration between vendor products; and ease transition between vendor products. Addressing the challenge of vendor lock-in.

NCCoE ZTA Demonstration Project

- Decide on a solution (may be an identity based ZTA?) **TBD**
- Publish a project description
- Publish a FRN
- Team up with ZT vendors for collaboration
- Execute project CY2020





Alper Kerman

Security Engineer and Project Manager

Alper.Kerman@nist.gov

301.975.0226

